Reconsideration of this Application and entry of this Amendment are respectfully

requested.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-23 are pending. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 13, 15-18, 22 and 23 are rejected.

Claims 5, 10-12, 14 and 19-21 are objected to.

Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 have been amended in response to a rejection for

indefiniteness. Claim 10 has been amended to correct a punctuation error. No

amendments have been made to distinguish the claims over cited prior art references.

Objections to the Specification

The Abstract of the disclosure has been objected to as containing sentences that

do not describe the present invention. Applicants have amended the Abstract herein to

delete the sentences that were objected to, and request that this objection be withdrawn.

Applicants have also amended paragraph 0010 of the specification to correct a

typographical error in the last sentence.

Objections to the Claims

Claim 10 has been objected to for the lack of a period at the end of line 2.

Applicants have amended claim 10 in response to this objection, and request that the

objection be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 6 and 7 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite. Applicants have amended claims 6 and 7 in response to this rejection.

Claim 1 has also been amended to recite "a first monofilament wire-form" to create a

clarified antecedent basis for claim 6. Claims 2-4 have been amended to refer to the first

Page 8 of 11

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-4, 8 and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,342,300 to Stefanadis *et al.*, hereinafter "Stefanadis." The Examiner asserts, *inter alia*, that Stafanadis teaches an apparatus including

"a monofilament wire-form 9 having . . . an expanded configuration preformed with multiple lobes 3 arranged in a radially symmetrical staggered sequence along the center line of the catheter 1 (Fig. 2)," and "each lobe extends from the center line to an apex engageable with the lumen of the body vessel (Fig. 3)."

Applicants respectfully disagree with the above characterization of the teachings of Stefanadis and traverse this rejection there under. Claim 1 requires that "each lobe extends from the center line to an apex engageable with the lumen of the body vessel." Lobes, as defined in the Application, extend radially outward from a starting segment adjacent the catheter center line, to an apex, then continue radially inward to an ending segment adjacent the catheter center line (See paragraph 0008). Lobes are also commonly defined as "a rounded projection, especially a rounded, projecting anatomical part: the lobe of an ear (The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition Copyright © 2003 by Houghton Mifflin Company)".

Stefanadis teaches a stent catheter having a helix or spiral 2 expandable about the catheter into continuous contact with a vessel wall (column 3, lines 5-11). Stefanadis' turns 3 are portions of geometrically defined Archimedian or conical spirals, which have constant or diminishing radii, respectively, along their length. Only the two ends of spiral 2, not each of turns 3, contact catheter shaft 1; Thus, turns 3 cannot be considered multiple lobes extending from the catheter center line, as required by claim 1. Additionally, since turns 3 are radially distant from the catheter shaft of Stefanadis, the

portion of the catheter between the ends of spiral 2 cannot be centered in the vessel by

turns 3. Thus, the invention defined by claim 1 is both structurally and functionally

distinct from the teachings of Stefanadis.

As described in paragraph 0009, "the designation 'staggered' means that each

lobe 50 extends in a different radial direction from adjacent lobe(s) 50." Stefanadis'

turns 3 also cannot be considered to teach lobes arranged in a "staggered sequence along

the center line of the catheter," as required in claim 1. For the reasons described above,

Stefanadis fails to anticipate claim 1 because the reference does not teach all the

limitations of the claim.

Claims 2-4, 8 and 9 depend directly or indirectly from claim 1 and are patentable

for at least the reasons described above with respect to claim 1. Additionally, Stafanadis

fails to teach that "the lobes are generally disposed in at least two radial directions

extending through the center line," as required in claim 8.

Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 13, 15-18, 22 and 23 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,074,339 to Gambale et al., hereinafter

Gambale. Applicants traverse the rejection of independent claims 1 and 13 for the same

reasons as those described above with respect to Stefanadis; That is, Gambale teaches a

helix or spiral expandable about a catheter shaft. Gambale does not teach lobes as

defined in the application or a common dictionary, nor does Gambale teach a staggered

arrangement of lobes. Thus, Gambale fails to teach all the limitations of at least claims 1

and 13.

Claims 2-4, 8 and 9 depend directly or indirectly from claim 1 and are patentable

over Gambale for at least the reasons described above with respect to claim 1.

Additionally, Gambale fails to teach that "the lobes are generally disposed in at least two

radial directions extending through the center line," as required in claim 8.

Claims 15-18, 22 and 23 depend directly or indirectly from claim 13 and are

patentable over Gambale for at least the reasons described above with respect to claim

Page 10 of 11

Reply to Office Action mailed September 7, 2004

13. Additionally, Gambale fails to teach that "the lobes are generally disposed in at least

two radial directions extending through the center line," as required in claim 17.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's indication of conditional

allowability of claims 5-7, 10-12, 14 and 19-21.

Conclusion

Applicant believes that a full and complete response has been made to the

outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for

allowance. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would, in any way, expedite

the prosecution of the application, please do not hesitate to call the undersigned at

telephone number (978) 739-3075 (EDT).

Dated this 29th day of November, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

James F. Crittenden

Registration No. 39,560

Agent for Applicants

Medtronic Vascular, Inc.

3576 Unocal Place

Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Facsimile No.: (707) 543-5420